

1  
2  
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

5 \* \* \*

6 ADDISON ORR,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 JUSTIN WILLIAMS, ET AL.,

10 Defendants.

Case No. 2:20-cv-00423-GMN-DJA

11 **REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

12 The Court previously denied without prejudice Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma*  
13 *pauperis* as incomplete. (ECF No. 3). It granted him an extension to file a second, complete  
14 application by April 17, 2019. *Id.* The Court stated, "**failure to timely comply with this order**  
15 **may result in a recommendation that this case be dismissed.**" *Id.* To date, the Court has not  
16 received a new completed application, the filing fee, or any request to extend the April 17, 2020  
17 deadline for filing one.

18 **RECOMMENDATION**

19 Accordingly, the undersigned **RECOMMENDS** that this case be **DISMISSED** without  
20 prejudice.

21 **NOTICE**

22 Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2 any objection to this Report and Recommendation must be  
23 in writing and filed with the Clerk of the Court within (14) days after service of this Notice. The  
24 Supreme Court has held that the courts of appeal may determine that an appeal has been waived  
25 due to the failure to file objections within the specified time. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 142  
26 (1985), *reh'g denied*, 474 U.S. 1111 (1986). The Ninth Circuit has also held that (1) failure to file  
27 objections within the specified time and (2) failure to properly address and brief the objectionable  
28 issues waives the right to appeal the District Court's order and/or appeal factual issues from the

1 order of the District Court. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991); *Britt v. Simi*  
2 *Valley United Sch. Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).

3 DATED: April 27, 2020



---

DANIEL J. ALBREGTS  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE